Application of MCA Methods: A seven step process
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MCA Steps Overview
Establish decision context for MCA

- Start the process by learning the context for the decision
- What? Why? Who?
Understanding **decision context** is important for:

- Setting up the MCA
- Collecting data
- Analyzing the results
What and why?

- What is the decision?
- Why is the decision necessary?

- What is the overall goal?
- What social and political forces affect the decision?
Who?

• Who are the decision makers?

• Who is affected by the decision (stakeholders)?

• Who else can provide information needed for the MCA?
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Identify objectives, options, and components
How to identify the objectives of a MCA

• Two major questions:
  ♦ What is important (to me) about this decision?
  ♦ Why is it important?

• Ask these questions until all important objectives have been identified
Who should **identify** the objectives of a MCA?

- Input from stakeholders can be an important part of identifying objectives
  - Helps to make sure that all important objectives are being considered
  - Helps *gain support* for the MCA and participation in the analysis
To integrate with triple bottom line (TBL)
Identify options (options are your alternatives or choices)

Options are ranked and prioritized in a MCA

- Some options may already be defined
- Try to identify new possible options
- Objectives can guide development of options
Conducting MCA

- Choosing between projects
- Implementing management actions
- Evaluating alternative policies
- Allocating resources

Option A

Option B

Option C
How to **identify components** of MCA objectives

- Components are identified for **each objective**
- Components break objectives down into things that can be **more easily measured**
- Helps determine what is **important** within each objective
How to identify components....

• For each objective, ask “What is important to me about this objective?”

• Generate a list of components in this way
How to identify components (continued)...

• Once you have a list of components, look to see if any can be removed from the list.

  ♦ Are there components that measure the same thing?

  ♦ Are there any components that cannot be measured?

  ♦ Are there any components that are the same across all options?
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How do we develop measures for components?

• Break component down into measurable value

• Focus on measures that contribute to the objectives

• Focus on measures that can be assessed quantitatively or qualitatively
Who should develop measures in a MCA?

• Develop independently

  or

• Get input from key players

  ♦ Stakeholders may have ideas about how the components should be measured

  ♦ Other key players may be able to provide useful information for developing measures
How to determine the outcomes….

• For each component, define what the result will be under each option

• Also define the result for the component if no decision is made (the “no action” option)
Example

Objective = Environmental
Component = Greenhouse gas mitigation
Outcome 1 = No change (status quo)
Outcome 2 = X tons reduced
Outcome 3 = Y tons reduced
Establish the decision context
Identify objectives, options, and components
Develop measures and outcomes
Score
Weight
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Analyze the results
What are benefits of *scoring*?

- Provides a way for options in a MCA to be prioritized
- Allows stakeholders to state their preferences for the different options
- Allows for multiple objectives to be compared on the same scale
Major steps in scoring a MCA

Step 1:
- Create scoring sheets for each component

Step 2:
- Assemble stakeholders

Step 3:
- Score outcomes for each component for each option
A scoring sheet guides stakeholders through the process of scoring each component. **From previous steps…**

- **Define the component**
- **Define the measures used for the component**
- **List the outcome for the component**

**GHG Mitigation**

**Tons avoided**

**Outcome for GHG Mitigation for Option 1**

**Do this for each option**
Tips for creating scoring sheets:

- Measure components in a way that is easy for stakeholders to understand.
- Make sure all components are measured in the same direction (more = better).
- Measures for components may be either quantitative or qualitative.
Conducting MCA

Example scoring sheet

- **Description of the component**

  **Climate Regulation**

  **Description:** Climate regulation is an important ecosystem service provided by natural areas. Forests provide climate change benefits both by storing carbon that would otherwise be released in the atmosphere and by buffering against natural disasters. Trees absorb carbon dioxide during photosynthesis where some carbon is stored in branches, trunks, and roots, or in the soil and leaves. When trees die or are killed, this carbon is released. Trapping atmospheric carbon, in the form of carbon dioxide, is important because it is the leading greenhouse gas associated with global warming. The amount of vegetation or the hectares of forest in an area are key variables for assessing how a site may trap carbon and help regulate climate. Forests, vegetated areas, and wetlands are also thought to buffer against extreme events. Scientists generally forecast that global warming will lead to an increase in the rate of extreme events (storms, tornadoes, fires, hurricanes, and drought). Vegetated areas (forests and mangroves) and wetlands can help reduce the impacts of these extreme events. This can occur by reducing runoff, buffering storm surge, preventing mudslides, and storing or moving floodwaters. Tree roots can hold soil in place and stabilize stream banks. Coastal forests and forested wetlands absorb the energy from storm surges reducing erosion that protects the coastline.

  **Measures:** Amount of land with carbon-storing vegetation and specific actions that help preserve the facets of natural ecosystems that moderate against extreme events.
Example scoring sheet

- List of outcomes of component for each option

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outcome of component for Option #1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome of component for Option #2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome of component for Option #3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome of component for Option #4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status quo (no change) outcome for component</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conducting MCA

Assemble Stakeholders
To obtain scores from stakeholders…..

• First, introduce the component and how it is measured

• Have stakeholders rank the outcomes for each component

• Define top-ranked outcome, then bottom-ranked outcome, then rank those in the middle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outcome #1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome #2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome #3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome #4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status quo</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To **obtain scores** from stakeholders…..(continued)

- After ranking outcomes, **assign scores** to them
  - Least preferred = 0
  - Most preferred = 100
  - Score in between

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outcome #1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome #2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome #3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome #4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status quo</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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What is weighting in an MCA?

A way to determine how important each component is as compared to others

- Weights are used with the scores to calculate the final, total scores for each option
- Weights are measured on a scale from 0 to 1
How to weight components in a MCA

• Rank and score each component based on how important it is to you

• Use a simple formula to calculate weights from these scores
How to create a weighting sheet (continued)

- A weighting sheet guides stakeholders through the weighting process

- List all of the components (and a row for “None”) in a table in rows

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Component #1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component #2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component #3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is different from previous scoring efforts.
How to create a weighting sheet (continued)

This is different from previous scoring efforts

= Previous sheets focused on scoring the outcomes of components

This effort focuses on prioritizing the components
Major steps in **weighting** a MCA

- Rank the components
- Convert these ranks to ratings (like the scoring sheets)
Conducting MCA

Rank (or order) the components based on what’s important to YOU…

Think: If you could have only one component, which would you choose?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Component #1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component #2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component #3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
After components have been rated, use the scores to calculate the weights

- Divide the rate for each component by the total to get the weight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Component #1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90 ÷ 215 = 0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component #2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100 ÷ 215 = 0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component #3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25 ÷ 215 = 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 ÷ 215 = 0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL = 215
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How to calculate overall total scores

- For each option, add the weighted scores for each component to get a total score the option
How to calculate overall total scores (continued)

- Average the results for each stakeholder group

- This approach assumes that all stakeholder groups have equal influence and importance

- More on this later…
Establish the decision context

Identify objectives, options, and components

Develop measures and outcomes

Score

Weight

Calculate total scores

Analyze the results
How to use results of an MCA….

- Determine the most-preferred option
- Determine the set of top-ranked options
- Identify all options above a certain score
- Develop a short list of options
Results can be analyzed at different levels

- Stakeholders
- Stakeholder Groups
- Overall (for all Stakeholder Groups)
Thing to consider….

- Weighting of individual stakeholders

- Weighting of stakeholder group
  - Size of stakeholder group
  - “Importance” of stakeholder group
Use **qualitative approaches** to tell the story behind the results

- Consider the highest and lowest ranked options and what was behind the scores they received.
- Consider results of stakeholder groups and what these results tell you about what is important to those groups.
- Do the results suggest than any additional options should be considered?
Scores obtained from stakeholders provide information on **tradeoffs**

- **Tradeoffs** represent what a stakeholder would be willing to give up of one thing to get something else.

- MCA forces stakeholders to consider and express their tradeoffs.

- Tradeoffs can be evaluated within an objective, or across objectives.
Any Questions?